IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KURIAN JOSEPH

FRIDAY, THE 10TH AUGUST 2007 / 19TH SRAVANA 1929

WP(C).No. 10607 of 2004(K)

PETITIONER:

K.M.OUSEPH, KANNADAN HOUSE,
VENGOOR, PERUMBAVOOR, ERNAKULAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.P.C.SASIDHARAN

RESPONDENTS:
----

1. **THE PRINCIPAL AGRICULTURE OFFICER,**
   CIVIL STATION, KAKKANAD.

2. **THE ASSISTANT DIRECTOR OF AGRICULTURE,**
   O/O.ASSISTANT DIRECTOR OF AGRICULTURE,
   PERUMBAVOOR.

3. **THE ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER (AGRL.)**
   VYTTILA.

4. **THE AGRICULTURE OFFICER,**
   KRISHI BHAVAN, MUDAKKUZHA P.O.,
   PERUMBAVOOR.

5. **THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, ERNAKULAM,**
   CIVIL STATION, KAKKANAD.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT.K.R.DEEPA

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON10/08/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

W.P.(C) NO.10607/2004

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS

EXT.P1:- COPY OF THE TECNHICAL SANCTION ALONG WITH THE ESTIMATE REPORT ISSUED BY THE DIRECTOR OF AGRICULTURE TRIVANDRUM DT. 8.12.95.

EXT.P2:- COPY OF THE AGREEMENT ENTERED IN TO BETWEEN THE PETITIONER AND ASSISTANT DIRECTOR OF AGRICULTURE, PERUMBAVOOR DT. 25.1.96.

EXT.P3:- COPY OF THE LETTER ADDRESSED BY THE AGRICULTURE OFFICER, KRISHI BHAVAN, MUDAKKUZHA, TO THE PRINCIPAL AGRICULTURE OFFICER KAKKANAD, DT./ 30.4.02.

EXT.P4:- COPY OF THE LETTER ADDRESSED BY THE PRESIDENT OF MUDAKKUZHA PANCHAYATH TO THE ASST.EXECUTIVE ENGINEER (AGRL.) VYTTILA DT. 7.5.02.

EXT.P5:_ COPY OF THE LETTER ADDRESSED BY THE MUPPATHIPADASEKHARA SAMITHI TO THE ASST.EXECUTIVE ENGINEER (AGRL) DT. 7.5.02.

EXT.P6:- COPY OF THE PROCEEDINGS ISSUED BY THE ASST.DIRECTOR OF AGRICULTURE TO THE PETITIONER DT. 4.9.03.

EXT.P7:- COPY OF THE REPLY SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER TO THE ASST.DIRECTOR OF AGRICULTURE PERUMBAVOOR DT. 20.9.03.

/TRUE COPY/

P.A. TO JUDGE

tss

KURIAN JOSEPH , J

==========

W.P.(C) NO. 10607 OF 2004

==========

Dated this the 10th day of August, 2007.

JUDGMENT

Petitioner approached this Court aggrieved by Ext.P6 notice issued by the 2nd respondent. According to the petitioner, unless a final valuation is done on the work already executed by independent agency, there is no justification in taking steps as per Ext.P6. He has already submitted Ext.P7 before the 3rd respondent. There will be a direction to the 3rd respondent to look into Ext.P7 with notice to the petitioner and take appropriate action thereon in accordance with law within a period of four months from the date of production of a copy of this judgment. The interim order passed by this Court on 26.03.2004 will continue till such time

The writ petition is disposed of accordingly.

KURIAN JOSEPH, JUDGE.

rv